



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/701,821

11/05/2003

Paul Harold Donnelly II

MSFT125756

7328

38991

7590

06/16/2008

CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC
1420 FIFTH AVENUE
SUITE 2800
SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347

EXAMINER

KIM, PAUL

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2161

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/16/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/701,821	Applicant(s) DONNELLY ET AL.	
	Examiner PAUL KIM	Art Unit 2161	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Paul Kim. (3)_____.

(2) David Sheldon. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 10 June 2008.

Type: a)☒ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference
c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 17 and 36.

Identification of prior art discussed: Touma et al - US Patent No. 6,160,549.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)☒ was not reached. h)☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant submitted proposed amendments via facsimile. Applicant asserted that the newly amended claim limitations would overcome Touma et al. Examiner Kim noted that, while it may overcome Touma et al, the newly amended claim language would most likely be overcome by other prior art. Examiner Kim also noted that portions of claim 1 should be rephrased more affirmatively so that potential instances of intended use may be avoided.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Apu Mofiz/
SPE, Art Unit 2161

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required